

Critical Care Nurses' Practice of Oral Care for Critically Ill Patients with a Tracheostomy Tube



Asmaa Ahmed El-Assay 1, Hala Ahmed Abd El Rahman 2, Nahed Kandeel 3

1 Demonstrator of Critical Care and Emergency Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Mansoura University, Egypt. e.mail:

dr_asmaa7320@yahoo.com

2 Lecturer of Critical Care and Emergency Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Mansoura University, Egypt. e.mail:

halaabdelrahma@yahoo.com

3 Professor of Critical Care and Emergency Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Mansoura University, Egypt. e.mail:

NahedKandeel2000@yahoo.com

1. ABSTRACT

Background: Oral care is an essential intervention for patients with a tracheostomy tube. Critical care nurses (CCNs) play a crucial role in providing proper oral care to maintain oral health and prevent infection, particularly ventilator-associated pneumonia. **Aim:** This study aimed to assess CCNs' practice of oral care for critically ill patients who have a tracheostomy tube. **Method:** A descriptive observational research design was used to conduct this study with a convenience sample of 60 CCNs working in three intensive care units affiliated with Mansoura Emergency Hospital in Egypt. One tool was used to collect data for this study: critical care nurses' practice of oral care assessment tool. **Results:** Most oral care interventions, such as gentle brushing the teeth and gum with chlorhexidine, suctioning oropharyngeal secretions, washing the mouth with antiseptic oral rinses, and using oral swabs with 1.5 % hydrogen peroxide in the event of infection, were not performed by all participant nurses. **Conclusion and Recommendations:** Overall, CCNs demonstrated poor oral care practice. These findings may have a negative effect on patients' outcomes. This emphasizes the importance of organizing oral care refresher training sessions regularly to improve nurses' practice. More research is needed to investigate the effectiveness of oral care training programs on CCNs' practice and the clinical outcomes of critically ill patients.

Keywords: Critical Care Nurses, Critically Ill Patients, Oral Care, Tracheostomy Tube.

2. Introduction:

Critically ill patients (CIPs) often require ventilatory support that is typically provided through the insertion of an endotracheal tube or the creation of a tracheostomy (Woodrow, 2019). Prolonged endotracheal intubation is considered the first warning indication for tracheostomy (Wahba, Basiony, Elsamanody, & Nour, 2020). However, tracheostomy is rarely the first procedure performed on a patient and is usually performed in response to clinical deterioration (Billington & Luckett, 2019).

Tracheostomy is defined as "the procedure which involves an incision on the trachea followed by insertion of a tracheostomy tube with the maintenance of patency of the opening on trachea either permanently or temporarily" (Swain, Behera, & Sahu, 2017, P. 50-51). Tracheostomy can be done either by a percutaneous or a surgical technique (Intensive Care Society [ICS], 2014; Mishra, Khasne, & Dandnaik, 2020). The percutaneous dilatational technique is a bedside procedure that requires only a minor surgical incision and does not necessitate an operating

room. While, the surgical technique is best performed in an operating room with proper lighting, suction, instruments, and assistance (Fagan et al., 2017).

Swain et al. (2017) reported that tracheostomy is one of the most common surgical procedures performed in intensive care units (ICUs). In the United Kingdom, the ICS (2014) reported that around 15,000 tracheostomy insertion procedures are performed each year in ICUs. In Egypt, a recent study carried out by Wahba et al. (2020) found that tracheostomy was performed for 12.6% of CIPs. The authors also added that 83.3% of the studied patients were males, and 75% were cigarette smokers. The National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death (2014) reported that tracheostomy was inserted percutaneously in almost two-thirds of cases, and surgically in one-third of them.

A tracheostomy provides a secure airway, facilitates secretion clearance and weaning from mechanical ventilation (MV), reduces the direct laryngeal injury caused by the endotracheal tube,

and enhances patient comfort (El-Anwar, Nofal, El Shawadfy, Maaty, & Khazbak, 2017). Despite the numerous advantages of tracheostomy, its presence is associated with health complications and a decrease in patient quality of life (Kutsukutsa, Mashamba-Thompson, & Saman, 2017). Oral feeding is one of the most unpleasant aspects for many patients with a tracheostomy tube as there may be a delay or absence of oral intake in these patients (ICS, 2020).

Moreover, normal oral airflow is interrupted, resulting in less evaporation of oral secretions which subsequently accumulate in the oral cavity. This interruption results in the occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). To overcome this problem, oral hygiene is critical for the patient with a tracheostomy tube (National Tracheostomy Safety Project, 2013). In Brazil, Miranda (2016) found that a lack of preventative measures, and poor oral and clinical care in ICUs, are risk factors for the development of systemic disorders, particularly those affecting the respiratory and cardiovascular systems.

Oral care is a disease preventive and cost-effective procedure for patients, especially CIPs (Emery & Guido-Sanz, 2019). Proper oral care prevents dental biofilm, tongue coating accumulation, and the accumulation of gram-negative bacteria which results in VAP, nosocomial pneumonia, and bacterial endocarditis (Miranda, 2017). Critical care nurses (CCNs) play a vital role in performing oral care to preserve oral health and reduce the risk of infection (Anggraeni, Hayati, & Nur'aeni, 2020). In Egypt, Moustafa, Tantawey, El-Soussi, and Ramadan (2016) advocated for the adoption of an oral assessment instrument for the prompt diagnosis of oral abnormalities in all patients.

In France, a study conducted by Ory et al. (2016) showed that an oral care protocol including tooth brushing, chlorhexidine use, and suctioning oral cavity significantly improved oral health scores compared with chlorhexidine cleaning alone. Additionally, an oral swab with a 1.5% hydrogen peroxide (HP) solution was recommended to clean the oral cavity every 2–4 hours (Sreenivasan, Ganganna, & Rajashekaraiyah, 2018). A recent study emphasized the importance of using moisture of the mucosal membrane to improve oral health (Anggraeni et al., 2020). Consequently, these interventions have a positive impact on decreasing the incidence of VAP (Cherian & Karkada, 2015).

In this regard, a study carried out by Ayşe, Karahan, and Cömert (2019) recommended using

soft toothbrushes to remove dental plaques and improve oral health status for the patients on MV. Also, a recent study reported that a combination of antiseptics and toothbrushing may reduce the incidence of VAP and length of ICU stay (Zhao et al., 2020).

In Egypt, a recent study conducted by Abdelhafez and Tolba (2021) reported factors affecting the quality of oral care as perceived by the nurses, including inadequate nurse-patient ratio, inconsistent competency evaluation, and unavailability of oral care guidelines. Moreover, Odgaard and Kothari (2019) attributed poor oral care practices to limited nurses' time, unavailability of supplies, and the need for training courses on oral care as mentioned by the nurses. Furthermore, oral hygiene methods are frequently focused on patient comfort rather than germ elimination (Sreenivasan et al., 2018). Hence, it is critical to overcome these obstacles and increase nurses' compliance with evidence-based oral care practices.

Significance of the Study

According to the World Health Organization (2021), oral health is an important predictor of general health, well-being, and quality of life. To promote oral health in CIPs, it is vital to educate ICU staff about the link between dental plaque and patients' systemic conditions, improve ICU professional training, and implement oral care protocols (Miranda, de Paula, de Castro Piau, Costa, & Bezerra, 2016).

Internationally, several studies assessed the nursing practice of oral care and reported CCNs' poor practice level (Al-Bdairy & Hassan, 2021; da Silva Junior et al., 2020; Rumagihwa & Bhengu, 2019; Tanguay et al., 2018). In Egypt, a recent study conducted by Abd Alraheem, Mohamed, and Gendy (2020) to assess the effect of oral care for patients on MV in ICU reported that most of CCNs had an unsatisfactory practice level of oral care. The same researchers also found that oral care in ICUs may be inadequate in eliminating dental plaque and respiratory infections from patients' oropharynx, contributing to a decline in oral health and increasing the risk of VAP.

A study conducted by Collins et al. (2020) reported that an effective oral care program decreases the incidence of VAP and improves patient comfort. Furthermore, a previous study that examined the effectiveness of oral care education in the prevention of VAP in ICUs found that increased education and adherence to oral care procedures with 0.12 % chlorhexidine solution

resulted in significant reductions in VAP rates by 62.5 % (Zurmehly, 2013). These findings indicate the need for more investigations to assess CCNs' practice of oral care for CIPs who have a tracheostomy tube in Egypt and identify areas that need improvement.

Aim of the Study

This study aimed to assess CCNs' practice of oral care for CIPs who have a tracheostomy tube.

Research question

To fulfill the aim of the study, the following research question is formulated:

Q: What is the level of CCNs' practice of oral care for CIPs who have a tracheostomy tube?

3. Method

Design

A descriptive observational research design was used to conduct this study. This design is used to observe, describe, and document a phenomenon occurring in its natural setting without any intervention or control (Sharma, 2018). The collected data are used to assess conditions and practices or develop plans for improving health care practices (Wood & Haber, 2018).

Setting

This study was carried out in three ICUs affiliated with Mansoura Emergency Hospital (surgical ICU 1, surgical ICU 2, and surgical ICU 3). Each unit has a capacity of 10 beds and is well equipped with supplies, advanced technology, as well as the manpower needed for CIPs' care. Surgical ICU 1 receives mostly patients with neurological impairment, poisoning, and shock. Surgical ICU 2 receives mostly poly traumatic patients. Surgical ICU 3 receives critically ill patients with different diagnoses. The nurse-patient ratio in these units is nearly 1: 2.

Participants

The current study involved a convenience sample of 60 nurses working in the aforementioned ICUs who were involved in direct patient care. Nurses with at least one year of work experience in the ICU and who agreed to participate were included in this investigation.

Data Collection Tools

One tool was developed to collect data for the current study

Critical Care Nurses' Practice of Oral Care Assessment Tool

It was developed by the primary investigator (PI) based upon reviewing the relevant

literature (Dale et al., 2019; National Tracheostomy Safety Project, 2013; Ory et al., 2016). This tool was used to assess CCNs' practice of oral care for CIPs who have a tracheostomy tube. It consisted of two main parts:

Part I: Critical Care Nurses' Demographic Characteristics

This part was used to collect data about participant nurses' age, gender, education level, years of work experience in the ICU, and attended training programs related to oral care.

Part II: Oral Care Observation Checklist

This part was used to observe and evaluate CCNs' practice of oral care. It involved 6 interventions including:

- Applying oral care every 4 hours a day with a soft toothbrush.
- Gently brushing the patient's teeth, gum, tongue, and hard palate using 2% chlorhexidine.
- Suctioning oropharyngeal secretions after brushing.
- Rinsing patient's mouth with antiseptic oral rinses.
- Applying a mouth moisturizer to the oral mucosa and lips.
- Using oral swabs with 1.5 % HP solution every 2-4 hours, if the infection is detected.

The scoring system was distributed as follows: "Done correctly" was given 2 marks, "Done incorrectly" was given 1 mark, and "Not done" was given 0. The total scoring system was classified into three categories; "Good practice" was >75%, "Fair practice" was from 50%-75%, and "Poor practice" was <50% (Elbokhary, Osama, & El-khader, 2015).

Validity and Reliability

The tool was tested for its content validity by a panel of five experts from the Critical Care and Emergency Nursing and Medicine fields. Suggested modifications were made before data collection. The reliability of the tool (part II) was assessed by using the Cronbach's alpha test that was 0.89 which indicates a reliable tool.

Pilot Study

A pilot study was conducted in May 2019 on 7 nurses (10% of the total sample) to assess the objectivity, clarity, feasibility, and applicability of the data collection tool. The participants in the pilot study were excluded from the main study group.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Nursing- Mansoura University. Eligible nurses were informed about the details of the study including the aim, benefits, and risks. They were also informed that participation in this investigation was voluntary and that they had the right to accept or refuse to take part in the study. Additionally, they were notified that they had the right to withdraw from the study at any time without penalty. They were also assured that the obtained data would not be a part of their annual appraisal. Anonymity and confidentiality of the personal data were assured through using codes on nurses' sheets instead of using names. Written informed consent was obtained from participant nurses who accepted to participate in the study.

Data Collection process

- Data were collected by the PI over a six-month period (between June and November 2019).
- Before commencing data collection, official permission to conduct the study was obtained from the director of the Mansoura Emergency Hospital after explaining the nature of this study.
- The PI set up a meeting with available nurses working in the selected study setting, explaining the study's aim and nature, and inviting them to participate in this research.
- The PI collected participant nurses' demographic data using part I of the tool. Completing this part lasted about 10 minutes for each nurse.
- Each nurse was given a code number to be used as an identifier during data entry and to ensure confidentiality.
- Participant nurses were observed three times during oral care of patients with a tracheostomy tube in different shifts according to nurses' predetermined schedules.
- The PI evaluated nurses' practice of oral care using the observation checklist (part II of the tool). Each observation lasted about 3 hours.

- The mean of the three observations was calculated. The practice level was determined according to the predetermined scoring system.

Data Analysis

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Program version 25 was utilized for data analysis. Qualitative data were described as numbers and percentages. However, quantitative data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median if normally distributed and interquartile range (IQR) if not.

Limitations of the study

This study involved a small size convenience sample and it was only conducted in three ICUs in one hospital (Mansoura Emergency Hospital). These factors limit the generalizability of the research findings.

4. Results

Table 1 reveals the demographic characteristics of participant nurses. The results showed that 55% of the participant nurses were in the age group between 20 and 30 years with a mean age of 29.7 ± 5.3 , and most of them (80%) were females. Additionally, 43.3% of the nurses had completed secondary nursing school and had more than 10 years of work experience in the ICU with a mean of 9.1 ± 5.6 years of experience. Furthermore, all participant nurses (100%) reported that they did not attend any training courses related to oral care.

Table 2 depicts participant nurses' total oral care practice level. The findings revealed that all participant nurses (100%) demonstrated poor oral care practice level, with practice scores $< 50\%$.

Table 3 illustrates participant nurses' oral care practices. The results revealed that most oral care interventions, including gentle brushing the teeth and gum with chlorhexidine, suctioning oropharyngeal secretions, rinsing the mouth with antiseptic rinses, and using oral swabs with 1.5 % HP in case of infection, were not performed by all participant nurses (100%). Only 33.3% of the nurses correctly applied a moisturizer to oral mucosa and lips after each cleansing using water-soluble jell.

Table 1 Demographic Characteristics of Participant Nurses

Variables	n = 60	
	n	%
Age		
• 20-30 years	33	55
• 41- 50 years	27	45
Mean ± SD (29.7± 5.3)		
Gender		
• Male	12	20
• Female	48	80
Education Level		
• Secondary nursing school	26	43.3
• Technical diploma	10	16.7
• Bachelor	22	36.7
• Postgraduate	2	3.3
Years of Work Experience in ICUs		
• ≤ 5 years	21	35
• 6-10 years	13	21.7
• > 10 years	26	43.3
Mean ± SD (9.1± 5.6)		
Attended Training Courses		
• No	60	100

Data are expressed as number (n) & percentage (%), SD: Standard Deviation, ICUs: Intensive Care Units.

Table 2 Participant Nurses' Total Oral Care Practice Level

Item	Nurses' Practice (n= 60)					
	Good Level >75 %		Fair Level 50- 75 %		Poor Level < 50 %	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Oral Care	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	100

#Data are expressed as number (n) & percentage (%).

Table 3 Participant Nurses' Oral Care Practices

Steps of Oral Care	Nurses' Practice (n= 60)					
	Done Correctly		Done Incorrectly		Not Done	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
1. Apply oral care every 4 hours a day with a soft toothbrush.	0	0.0	38	63.3	22	36.7
2. Gently brush the patient's teeth, gum, tongue, and hard palate using 2% chlorhexidine.	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	100
3. Suction oropharyngeal secretions after brushing.	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	100
4. Rinse patient's mouth with antiseptic oral rinses	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	100
5. Apply a mouth moisturizer to the oral mucosa and lips after each cleansing using a water-soluble jell.	20	33.3	19	31.7	21	35
6. Use oral swabs with 1.5 % HP solution every 2-4 hours, if infection is detected.	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	100
Mean ± SD (4.9 ± 3.2)						

#Data are expressed as number (n) & percentage (%), SD: Standard Deviation, HP: Hydrogen Peroxide.

5. Discussion

Oral care provided regularly according to a standard protocol has a positive effect on oral mucosa health (Özveren & Uçar, 2017). Unfortunately, oral care is sometimes overlooked in favor of the urgent needs of CIPs. Furthermore, it appears that nursing staff gives a low priority to oral hygiene (Sreenivasan et al., 2018). Hence, we conducted this study to assess the CCNs' practice of oral care for CIPs in ICUs who have a tracheostomy.

The findings of the current study showed that more than half of the participant nurses were in the age group between 20 and 30 years. This may be attributed to the national trend in Egypt of employing newly graduating CCNs in ICUs to enhance patient care quality (Mohamed, Kandeel, Abosaeda, & Ali, 2020). This finding is supported by the results of other previous studies (Aithal, Jagmohan & Niveditha, 2017; Maraş, Güler, Eşer, & Köse, 2016; Mohamed et al., 2020). However, Madhuvu, Endacott, Plummer, and Morphet (2020) reported that the biggest proportion of the enrolled nurses was in the age group between 31 and 40 years. This discrepancy could be due to the large sample size as the researchers involved 294 CCNs in their study.

Concerning gender, the current study illustrated that most of the participant nurses were females. This was expected as the nursing profession was previously known as a female profession in Egypt, yet males continue to be underrepresented in the nursing field (Mohamed et al., 2020). This observation is consistent with the findings of similar investigations (Maraş et al., 2016; Mwakanyanga, Masika, & Tarimo, 2018).

The current study revealed that the biggest proportion of the participant nurses had completed secondary nursing school and had more than 10 years of work experience in ICUs. This could be because nurses with a Bachelor's degree in Nursing Science were assigned administrative responsibilities within a few years after appointment rather than working as staff nurses. These findings are consistent with the findings of Mohamed, Ismail, Sultan, and Abdel-kader (2016) who reported that most of the studied samples in ICUs were graduated from secondary nursing school and had ≥ 10 years of work experience in ICUs. This is also supported by the results of another Egyptian study (Zanaty, Morsy, Elshamy, & Ali, 2016).

On the contrary, Abd-Elfatah, Khalil, and Abd El Rahman (2018) reported that the biggest proportion of the studied nurses were graduates of the technical nursing institute and had less than 10 years of work experience in ICUs. Also, Mohamed et al. (2020) found that nearly half of the participant nurses had a Bachelor's degree in nursing and most of them had from 1 to less than 5 years of work experience. These discrepancies are due to the availability of different educational nursing programs that are currently running in Egypt including secondary nursing school, technical nursing institute, bridging program, Bachelor's of nursing program, and postgraduate programs.

The American Association of Critical Care Nurses (2015) emphasized the necessity of continuous skill training to maintain the qualifications required to operate equipment, carry out protocols, adhere to policies and procedures, and offer age-appropriate care. However, the current study revealed that all participant nurses did not attend any training courses on oral care for tracheostomized patients. This finding can be explained by a lack of in-service training programs in the study setting, limited training funds, and a nursing staff shortage that prevents nurses from attending training programs outside of the hospital. Consequently, this may negatively affect oral care for tracheostomized patients.

These findings are in harmony with the results of other studies (Dhaliwal, Choudhary, & Sharma, 2018; Mohamed et al., 2016). On the other hand, Odgaard and Kothari (2019) reported that most of the studied nurses attended oral care training. This discrepancy could be related to the fact that the majority of the nurses in their study believed that oral care education, workshops, or programs would assist them to learn more about the best oral care techniques (Odgaard & Kothari, 2019).

A previous study reported that HP mouthwash was more effective than normal saline mouthwash in reducing VAP (Nobahar, Razavi, Malek, & Ghorbani, 2016). Therefore, this study recommended using HP mouthwash in routine nursing care. However, the current study's findings revealed that oral care practices were poor. Most steps of oral care procedure, such as gently brushing the patient's teeth and gums with chlorhexidine, suctioning oropharyngeal secretions, washing the mouth with antiseptic oral rinses, and utilizing oral swabs in the event of infection, were not performed by all of the participants.

These findings may be attributed to the nurses' perception of oral care as a comfort measure for the patient rather than an essential intervention. Nurses' perception of oral care as an unpleasant task may be a major barrier to their ability to do it (Ibrahim, Mudawi, & Omer, 2015). Unavailability of oral care supplies, inadequate staff, and limited time available for each patient are additional barriers to performing good oral hygiene (Abd Alraheem et al., 2020; Ibrahim et al., 2015). In this respect, an American study conducted by Rumagihwa and Bhengu (2019) emphasized the importance of developing an oral hygiene protocol for ventilated patients as well as providing ongoing in-service training for CCNs on oral care.

Our findings are congruent with Mukhtar, Afzal, Sarwar, Waqas, and Gillani (2017) who found that participant nurses' oral care practices were inadequate. Additionally, these findings are consistent with the findings of Aziz et al. (2020) who revealed that the majority of the enrolled CCNs provided inadequate oral hygiene, such as swabbing the mouth with chlorhexidine solution. Furthermore, comparable findings were reported in other investigations (Aboalzim & Kasemy, 2016; Rumagihwa & Bhengu, 2019).

On the other hand, according to a recent national survey in Australia, nearly two thirds of nurses gave MV patients daily oral care using chlorhexidine solution (Madhuvu et al., 2020). Our results also contradict the findings of a previous research investigation (Aotaibi, Alshayiqi & Ramalingam, 2014). This discrepancy may be explained by the fact that most of the nurses in the cited studies had a Bachelor's degree in Nursing Science or higher. This is to be expected because nursing students receive advanced clinical training on nursing procedures in Bachelor or postgraduate nursing programs.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

Based on the findings of the current study, it can be concluded that participant nurses' total oral care practice score was poor. These findings may have a negative impact on patients' outcomes. This highlights the need for conducting ongoing refresher training sessions for CCNs to improve their oral care practice. In addition, oral care written guidelines and bundle posters should be available in ICUs. Consequently, CCNs will be familiar with the current evidence-based practice. Further studies are needed to determine the impact of oral care training programs on CCNs nurses' practice and the clinical outcomes of CIPs.

7. Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the CCNs in the study setting for their help and support in carrying out this study.

8. Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest regarding the research or publication of the article.

9. References

- Abd Alraheem, F. A., Mohamed, H. A., & Gendy, J. F. (2020). Effect of oral hygiene for patients on mechanical ventilator in intensive care unit (Master Thesis - Faculty of Nursing- Ain Shams University). Retrieved from: <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/>
- Abd- Elfatah, E. Y., Khalil, N. S., & Abd El Rahman, H. A. (2018). Critical care nurses' knowledge and practice regarding life threatening ventricular dysrhythmia among critically ill patients at Specialized Medical Hospital – Mansoura University (Master Thesis- Faculty of Nursing- Mansoura University).
- Abdelhafez, A. I. & Tolba, A. A. (2021). Nurses' practices and obstacles to oral care quality in intensive care units in Upper Egypt. *Nursing in Critical Care*, 1-8. DOI: [10.1111/nicc.12736](https://doi.org/10.1111/nicc.12736).
- Aboalzim, S. E. & Kasemy, Z. A. (2016). Nurses' knowledge, attitude and practice toward mouth hygiene among critical ill patients. *International Journal of Novel Research in Health Care and Nursing*, 3(4), 1-5. Retrieved from: <http://www.noveltyjournals.com>.
- Aithal, S., Jagmohan, S. V., & Niveditha, S. (2017). Knowledge and attitude of nursing staff towards nebulization therapy in a tertiary care hospital. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 5(9), 3976-3979. DOI: [10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20173965](https://doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20173965).
- Al-Bdairy, M. F., & Hassan, H. S. (2021). Impact of an interventional program on ICU nurses' practices toward oral care of intubated patients in Al-Diwaniya Teaching Hospital. *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, 25(4), 12507-12518. Retrieved from: <http://annalsofrscb.ro/>.
- American Association of Critical-Care Nurses (2015). AACN scope and standards for acute and critical care nursing practice. *American Association of Critical-Care*

- Nurses, 1-31. Retrieved from: <https://www.aacn.org>.
- Anggraeni, D., Hayati, A., & Nur'aeni, A. (2020). The effect of oral care intervention on oral health status of intubated patients in the intensive care unit. *Belitung Nursing Journal*, 6(1), 21-26. Retrieved from: <https://belitungraya.org/BRP/index.php/bnj/index>.
- Aotaibi, A. K., Alshayiqi, M., & Ramalingam, S. (2014). Does the presence of oral care guidelines affect oral care delivery by intensive care unit nurses? A survey of Saudi intensive care unit nurses. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 42(8), 921-922. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2014.05.019>.
- Ayşe, T., Karahan, E., & Cömert, F. (2019). The effect of oral care given to patients on mechanical ventilatory support by two different methods on bacterial colonization in oral mucosa and oral health. *Journal of Contemporary Medicine*, 9(4), 321-331. DOI: 10.16899/jcm.628392
- Aziz, Z., Kausar, S., Zahid, S., Farooqi, S., Aziz, Z., & Ahmad, R. A. (2020). Knowledge and practice of ventilator care bundle for preventing ventilator associated pneumonia by ICU nurses of tertiary care hospitals of Lahore. *Anaesthesia, Pain & Intensive Care*, 24(4), 426-434. DOI: 10.35975/apic.v24i4.1315.
- Billington, J. & Luckett, A., (2019). Care of the critically ill patient with a tracheostomy. *Nursing Standard*. 34(9), 59- 65. DOI: 10.7748/ns.2019.e11297.
- Cherian, S., & Karkada, S. (2015). Effect of education related to oral care practices on nurses' knowledge, practice and clinical outcomes of mechanically ventilated patients in Dubai. *International Journal of Nursing Research and Practice*, 2(1), 9-14. Available Online at: <http://www.uphtr.com/IJNRP/home>.
- Collins, T., Plowright, C., Gibson, V., Stayt, L., Clarke, S., Caisley, J., ... & Wilcox, G. (2020). British association of critical care nurses: Evidence-based consensus paper for oral care within adult critical care units. *Nursing in Critical Care*, 26, 224-233. DOI: 10.1111/nicc.12570.
- da Silva Junior, A. C., Xavier, I. P., Silveira, L. M., Stabile, A. M., Cárnio, E. C., de Gusmão, J. L., & de Souza, A. L. (2020). Oral hygiene: Performance of the nursing team in a hospital environment. *Revista de Enfermagem Referência*, 5(1), 1-8. DOI: 10.12707/RIV19099.
- Dale, C., Rose, L., Carbonel, S., Smith, O., Burry, L., Fan, E., ... & Cuthbertson, B. (2019). Protocol for a multi-centered, stepped wedge, cluster randomized controlled trial of the de-adoption of oral chlorhexidine prophylaxis and implementation of an oral care bundle for mechanically ventilated critically ill patients: The CHORAL study. *Trials*, 20, 603- 610. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3673-0>.
- Dhaliwal, M. K., Choudhary, R., & Sharma, P. (2018). A descriptive study to assess the knowledge and skills on tracheostomy care among staff nurses working in selected hospitals of district Mohali, Punjab. *Asian Journal of Nursing Education and Research*, 8(2), 242-246. Retrieved from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/2349-2996.2018.00049.6>.
- El-Anwar, M. W., Nofal, A. A. F., El Shawadfy, M. A., Maaty, A., & Khazbak, A. O. (2017). Tracheostomy in the intensive care unit: a university hospital in a developing country study. *International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology*, 21(01), 33-37. Retrieved from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1584227>.
- Elbokhary, R., Osama, A., & Al-khader, M. (2015). Knowledge and practice of ICU nurses regarding endotracheal tube suctioning for mechanically ventilated patients in Kartoum Teaching Hospital. *American Journal of Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*, 1(2), 92 – 98. Available online at: <http://www.aiscience.org/journal/ajcnn>.
- Emery, K., & Guido- Sanz, F. (2019). Oral care practices in non- mechanically ventilated intensive care unit patients: An integrative review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 28 (13-14), 2462-2471. DOI: 10.1111/jocn.14829.
- Fagan, J., Taylor, K., Bolding, E., de Groot, M., Witt, R. L., Edkins, O., ... & Cheney, M. L. (2017). *Open access atlas of otolaryngology, head and neck operative surgery*. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.15641/0-7992-2534-1>.
- Ibrahim, S. M., Mudawi, A. M., & Omer, O. (2015). Nurses' knowledge, attitude and practice of oral care for intensive care unit patients. *Open Journal of Stomatology*, 5(07), 179-186. Retrieved

- from:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojst.2015.57023>.
- Intensive Care Society (ICS). (2014). Standards for the care of adult patients with a temporary tracheostomy: Standards and guidelines, 29-32. Retrieved from: <https://www.wyccn.org/uploads/6/5/1/9/65199375/ics>.
- Intensive Care Society (ICS). (2020). Guidance for tracheostomy care, 1-43. Retrieved from: <https://www.ficm.ac.uk/sites/default/files/>.
- Kutsukutsa, J., Mashamba-Thompson, T. P., & Saman, Y. (2017). Tracheostomy decannulation methods and procedures in adults: A systematic scoping review protocol. *Systematic Reviews*, 6(1), 239 - 244. DOI 10.1186/s13643-017-0634-0.
- Madhuvu, A., Endacott, R., Plummer, V., & Morphet, J. (2020). Nurses' knowledge, experience and self-reported adherence to evidence-based guidelines for prevention of ventilator-associated events: A national online survey. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, 59, 102827- 102832. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2020.102827>.
- Maraş, G. B., Güler, E. K., Eşer, İ., & Köse, Ş. (2016). Knowledge and practice of intensive care nurses for endotracheal suctioning in a teaching hospital in Western Turkey. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, 39, 45-54. Retrieved from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2016.08.006>.
- Miranda, A. F. (2016). Oral health and care at intensive care units. *Journal of Nursing & Care*, 5(1), 1-6. DOI: 10.4172/2167-1168.1000375.
- Miranda, A. F. (2017). The importance of oral health as integral part of the care given to intensive care unit patients. *Health Education*, 1(1), 1-3. DOI: 10.19080/JOJNHC.2017.01.555554.
- Miranda, A. F., de Paula, R. M., de Castro Piau, C. G. B., Costa, P. P., & Bezerra, A. C. B. (2016). Oral care practices for patients in intensive care units: A pilot survey. *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine*, 20(5), 267- 273. Retrieved from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4103%2F0972-5229.182203>.
- Mishra, R. C., Khasne, R., & Dandnaik, M. (2020). Post-tracheostomy care in ICU patients. In Chawla, R., & Todi, S. *ICU protocols: A step-wise approach (2nd ed)*, India: Springer. 455- 467. Retrieved from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0902-5_45.
- Mohamed, A. M., Kandeel, N. A., Abosaeda, A. I., & Ali, W. G. M. (2020). Effect of educational sessions about early mobilization of critically ill patients on nurses' knowledge and practices. *Journal of Nursing and Health Science*, 9(5), 1-9. DOI: 10.9790/1959-0905040109.
- Mohamed, A., Ismail, M., Sultan, M., & Abdelkader, F. (2016). Nurses' knowledge and practices about delirium among intensive care units patients at Emergency Hospital, Mansoura University. *Mansoura Nursing Journal*, 3(2), 123-133. Available on at: https://journals.ekb.eg/article_149424.html.
- Moustafa, M. F., Tantawey, N. M., El-Soussi, A. H., & Ramadan, F. A. (2016). The effect of oral care intervention on the occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Gynecology & Obstetrics (Sunnyvale)*, 6(5), 383-390. DOI:10.4172/2161-0932.1000383.
- Mukhtar, A., Afzal, M., Sarwar, H., Waqas, A. & Gillani, S. A. (2017). Knowledge, attitude and practices of nurses to oral care for hospitalized patients in services hospital, Lahore. *Saudi Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 3(5), 399-407. DOI: 10.21276/sjimps.
- Mwakanyanga, E. T., Masika, G. M., & Tarimo, E. A. (2018). Intensive care nurses' knowledge and practice on endotracheal suctioning of the intubated patient: A quantitative cross-sectional observational study. *Plos One*, 13(8). 1-13. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201743>.
- National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death (2014). On the right trach? A review of the care received by patients who underwent a tracheostomy. London. Retrieved from: <https://www.ncepod.org.uk/2014tc.html>.
- National Tracheostomy Safety Project (2013). Comprehensive tracheostomy care. Retrieved from: <http://www.tracheostomy.org.uk>.
- Nobahar, M., Razavi, M. R., Malek, F., & Ghorbani, R. (2016). Effects of hydrogen peroxide mouthwash on preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia in patients admitted to the intensive care unit. *Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 20(5), 444-450. Retrieved from: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

- Odgaard, L., & Kothari, M. (2019). Survey of oral nursing care attitudes, knowledge and practices in a neurorehabilitation setting. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, 46(8), 730-737. DOI: [10.1111/joor.12799](https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.12799)
- Ory, J., Raybaud, E., Chabanne, R., Cosserant, B., Faure, J. S., Guérin, R., ... & Traore, O. (2016). Comparative study of 2 oral care protocols in intensive care units. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 45(3), 245-250. Retrieved from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2016.09.006>.
- Özveren, H., & Uçar, H. (2017). Effects of oral care provided with three different tools in patients receiving mechanical ventilation Mekanik ventilatöre bağlı hastalarda üç farklı araçla verilen ağız bakımının etkisi. *Journal of Human Sciences*, 14(4), 4507-4519. DOI: [10.14687/jhs.v14i4.4948](https://doi.org/10.14687/jhs.v14i4.4948)
- Rumagihwa, L., & Bhengu, B. (2019). Oral care practices of nurses on ventilated patients in Kigali intensive care unit. *Rwanda Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences*, 2(2), 154-159. Retrieved from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/rjmhs.v2i2.11>.
- Sharma, S. (2018). *Nursing research and statistics (3rd ed)*. India: Elsevier.
- Sreenivasan, V. P. D., Ganganna, A., & Rajashekaraiyah, P. B. (2018). Awareness among intensive care nurses regarding oral care in critically ill patients. *Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology*, 22(6), 541-545. Available on at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6305093/>.
- Swain, S. K., Behera, I. C., & Sahu, M. C. (2017). Bedside open tracheostomy at intensive care unit-our experiences of 1000 cases at a tertiary care teaching hospital of Eastern India. *Egyptian Journal of Ear, Nose, Throat and Allied Sciences*, 18(1), 49-53. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejenta.2016.10.006>.
- Tanguay, A., Reeves, I., LeMay, S., Khadra, C., Gosselin, E., & St-Cyr-Tribble, D. (2018). Survey of oral care practices in Quebec for intensive care patients receiving mechanical ventilation. *Canadian Journal of Critical Care Nursing*, 29(3), 39-44. Retrieved from: <http://www.caccn.ca/>.
- Wahba, A. H., Basiony, F. S., Elsamanody, A. N., & Nour, M. O. (2020). Incidence of tracheostomy in prolonged mechanically ventilated patient in the respiratory intensive care unit. *The Scientific Journal of Al-Azhar Medical Faculty for Girls*, 3(3), 730- 734. DOI: [10.4103/sjamf.sjamf_92_19](https://doi.org/10.4103/sjamf.sjamf_92_19).
- Wood, G. & Haber, J. (2018). *Nursing research: Methods and critical appraisal for evidence-based practice (9th ed)*. China: El- Sevier.
- Woodrow, P. (2019). *Intensive care nursing: A framework for practice (4thed)*. New York: Routledge.
- World Health Organization (2021). Oral health. Retrieved from: <https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-prevention/oral-health>
- Zanaty, M. M., Morsy, W., Elshamy, K., & Ali, S. (2016). Critical care nurses' knowledge and practices about sepsis bundle among critically ill patients at Emergency Hospital, Mansoura University. *Mansoura Nursing Journal*, 3(1), 35-54. DOI: [10.21608/mnj.2016.149291](https://doi.org/10.21608/mnj.2016.149291).
- Zhao, T., Wu, X., Zhang, Q., Li, C., Worthington, H. V., & Hua, F. (2020). Oral hygiene care for critically ill patients to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (12), 1- 139. DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD008367.pub4](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008367.pub4).
- Zurmehly, J. (2013). Oral care education in the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia: quality patient outcomes in the intensive care unit. *The Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*, 44(2), 67-75. DOI: [10.3928/00220124-20121203-16](https://doi.org/10.3928/00220124-20121203-16).